2015-2016 Annual Assessment Report Template

For instructions and guidelines visit our <u>website</u> or <u>contact us</u> for more help.

	Report:	BA Humanities & Religious Studies	
Quest	tion 1: Prograi	m Learning Outcomes	
Q1.1. Which of assess?	f the following Progran ? [Check all that app	m Learning Outcomes (PLOs) and Sac State Baccalaureate Learning G ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	oals (BLGs) did you
1. (Critical Thinking		
2. I	Information Literacy		
3. \	Written Communicatio	n	
4. (Oral Communication		
5. (Quantitative Literacy		
6. I	Inquiry and Analysis		
7. (Creative Thinking		
8. F	Reading		
9. 7	Team Work		
10.	Problem Solving		
	Civic Knowledge and	Engagement	
12.	Intercultural Knowled	ge and Competency	
13.	Ethical Reasoning		
	Foundations and Skill	ls for Lifelong Learning	
15.	Global Learning		
16.	Integrative and Applie	ed Learning	
17.	Overall Competencies	s for GE Knowledge	
18.	Overall Competencies	s in the Major/Discipline	
19.	Other, specify any as	ssessed PLOs not included above:	
a			
b			
Q1.2. Please p		background information about EACH PLO you checked above and other tellicitive linked to the Sac State BLGs:	er information such as
The HRS H Competen in a variety Cultures as which focu	ere is no direct linkage between the linkage linka	Os are not based on the two University PLOs (= the AAC&U "Intercultural Knowledge" an even them; however, there is significant alignment. It a Concentration in Religious Studies has two PLGs that fit well with the University PLO emphasizes acquisition of cognitive, affective, and behavioral skills that support effective PLG 1, "Knowledge of Human Cultures," which is very similar to elements of the Universit World," aligns well with "Intercultural Knowledge and Competence." Especially well aligand modes of expression in global cultures as well as on the comparative analysis of cult he University BLG "Personal and Social Responsibility." fits nicely with "Intercultural Knowledge".	"Intercultural Knowledge and e and appropriate interaction ty BLG "Knowledge of Human gned are our PLOs 1.1 and 1.3, tures. Our PLG 3, "Lifelong

The program has two PLOs that align with the University PLO "Global Learning" (AAC&U rubric), which encourages students to become informed and open-minded people who understand and know how to act responsibly in the world's many diverse cultural settings. Our PLG 1, "Knowledge of Human Cultures," is clearly related to "Global Learning," and especially PLOs 1.1 and 1.3, which fit well with "Global Self-Awareness" (see the Benchmark and Milestone 2 descriptors). The same can be said of our PLG 3, "Lifelong Learning," and especially PLOs 3.4 and 3.5, which are clearly linked to the "Cultural Diversity" and "Perspective Taking" descriptors for "Global Learning."

Especially well aligned are our PLOs 3.4 and 3.5, which encourage reflection on "the diversity of communities and cultures" and the cultivation of an

empathy that allows one to understand and adapt to the perspectives of others.

Q1.2.1. Do you have rubrics for your PLOs?
1. Yes, for all PLOs
2. Yes, but for some PLOs
3. No rubrics for PLOs
○ 4. N/A
5. Other, specify:
Q1.3. Are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission of the university? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know
Q1.4. Is your program externally accredited (other than through WASC Senior College and University Commission (WSCUC))? 1. Yes 2. No (skip to Q1.5) 3. Don't know (skip to Q1.5)
Q1.4.1. If the answer to Q1.4 is yes, are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission/goals/outcomes of the accreditation agency? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know
Q1.5. Did your program use the <i>Degree Qualification Profile</i> (DQP) to develop your PLO(s)? 1. Yes 2. No, but I know what the DQP is 3. No, I don't know what the DQP is 4. Don't know
Q1.6. Did you use action verbs to make each PLO measurable? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know
(Remember: Save your progress)
Question 2: Standard of Performance for the Selected PLO
02.1

Q2.1. Select **ONE(1)** PLO here as an example to illustrate how you conducted assessment (be sure you *checked the correct box* for this PLO in Q1.1):

Intercultural Knowledge and Competency

Please provide more background information about the **specific PLO** you've chosen in Q2.1.

The HRS Humanities B.A. program (Religious Religious Concentration) has two PLGs that fit well with the University PLO "Intercultural Knowledge and Competence" (AAC&U rubric), which emphasizes acquisition of cognitive, affective, and behavioral skills that support effective and appropriate interaction in a variety of cultural contexts. Our PLG 1, "Knowledge of Human Cultures," which is very similar to elements of the University BLG "Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World," aligns well with "Intercultural Knowledge and Competence." Especially well aligned are our PLOs 1.1 and 1.3, which focus on the distinctive values and modes of expression in global cultures as well as on the comparative analysis of cultures. Our PLG 3, "Lifelong Learning," which connects well with the University BLG "Personal and Social Responsibility," fits nicely with "Intercultural Knowledge and Competence." Especially well aligned are our PLOs 3.4 and 3.5, which encourage reflection on "the diversity of communities and cultures" and the cultivation of an empathy that allows one to understand and adapt to the perspectives of others.

PLG 1

Knowledge of Human Cultures: Students majoring in Humanities (Religious Studies concentration) should be able to demonstrate knowledge of human cultures, their values and forms of expression in ways that prepare them to understand, adapt, and succeed in increasingly diverse and complex contexts.

- 1.1. Explain the distinguishing values and prominent forms of literary and artistic expression of the major eras of Western and Asian cultures.
- 1.3. Compare two or more cultures, identifying common themes or issues along with those that are distinctive.

PLG 3

Lifelong Learning: Students majoring in Humanities (Religious Studies conentration) should be able to acquire foundations and skills for lifelong learning for purposes of enhancing personal enrichment, intercultural awareness, and active engagement with the challenges and opportunities of the modern world.

- 3.4. Demonstrate evidence of self-reflection on perspectives because of working within and learning from diversity communities and cultures.
- 3.5. Express, listen, and adapt ideas and messages based on others' perspectives.

No file attached

QZ.	Z .	
Has	the	program developed or adopted explicit standards of performance for this PLO?
	1.	Yes
	2.	No
	3.	Don't know
	4	N/Δ

Q2.3.

InterculturalKnowledge.pdf

95.45 KB

appendix.
AAC&U Rubric "Intercultural Knowledge and Competence" is attached.
Because this is the first time we have assessed this PLO, we cannot yet establish with integrity a reasonable standard. We would welcome evidence that might assist us in doing so (national surveys/studies of AAC&U scores).

Please provide the rubric(s) and standards of performance that you have developed for this PLO here or in the

Q2.4. PLO	Q2.6. Rubric	Please indicate where you have published the PLO , the standard of performance, and the rubric that was used to measure the PLO:
•		1. In SOME course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO
		2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO
		3. In the student handbook/advising handbook
		4. In the university catalogue
		5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters
		_

•		6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources, or activities
✓		7. In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university
		8. In the department/college/university's strategic plans and other planning documents
		9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other resource allocation document
		10. Other, specify:
Questi Select Q3.1.		: Data Collection Methods and Evaluation of Data Quality for the _O
Was asse		data/evidence collected for the selected PLO?
① 1. Ye		4- OC)
	lo (skip)on't kno	ow (skip to Q6)
		o to Q6)
	7 (
1	ny assess	sment tools/methods/measures in total did you use to assess this PLO?
Q3.2. Was the o	data sco	pred/evaluated for this PLO?
1. Y		
O 2. N	lo (skip	to Q6)
O 3. D	on't kno	ow (skip to Q6)
4. N	I/A (skip	o to Q6)
		ow you collected the assessment data for the selected PLO. For example, in what course(s) or by what collected:
		nent Committee collected student papers from HRS 196 (an experimental version of HRS 190, a required umanities majors with a Religious Studies concentration).
•		ave your progress) A: Direct Measures (key assignments, projects, portfolios, etc.)
Q3.3.		
1. Ye	es	sures (key assignments, projects, portfolios, course work, student tests, etc.) used to assess this PLO?
		o Q3.7)
3. Do	on't kno	w (skip to Q3.7)
Q3.3.1. Which of		
**************************************	the falls	wing direct measures were used? [Check all that anniv]
1 0		owing direct measures were used? [Check all that apply]
	apstone	project (e.g. theses, senior theses), courses, or experiences
2. K	apstone ey assig	

	4	. Classroom bas	sed pe	erformance assess	ment such as simulations, comprehensive exams, or c	ritiqu	es
	5	. External perfor	rman	ice assessments su	uch as internships or other community-based projects		
	6	. E-Portfolios					
	7	. Other Portfolio	os				
		. Other, specify:					
	Ü	. Other, specify					
Q3.	3.2	2.	h	the direct measur	re you used to collect data:		
riea	se	explain and at	llacii	the direct measur	re you used to conect data.		
You r	na fic	y either conduct a Christian saint and	gener d discu	ral discussion of Christ uss his/her saintliness	ers assigned in HRS 196 (=HRS 190). The assignment itian sainthood, and compare this paradigmatic ideal to the Confision light of the chracteristics and attributes you have identified in es do (does) the Christian saint embody and exemplify?	ucian s	-
COIIII	110	in reactures do they	Silare	and what anique on	es do (does) the emistain same emisody and exemplify.		
W.	N	o file attached	W	No file attached			
Q3.			1	t. the date 2			
wna		tool was used to			dence (skip to Q3.4.4.)		
				·	ne faculty who teaches the class (skip to Q3.4.2.)		
			-	·	group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.)		
			-	•	by a group of faculty (skip to Q3.4.2.)		
		•		s) (skip to Q3.4.2			
			-	bric(s) (skip to Q3			
				(Answer Q3.4.1.)	·		
	,	. Oseu otner me	calls	(Aliswei Q3.4.1.)			
Q3.	1	1					
			ns, w	which of the follow	ing measures was used? [Check all that apply]		
	1	. National discip	olinar	y exams or state/p	professional licensure exams (skip to Q3.4.4.)		
	2	. General knowl	edge	and skills measur	res (e.g. CLA, ETS PP, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.4.)		
	3	. Other standard	dized	knowledge and sk	cill exams (e.g. ETC, GRE, etc.) (skip to Q3.4.4.)		
		. Other, specify:			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		(skip to Q3.4.4.)
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					()
Q3.			d dire	ectly and explicitly	with the PLO?		
•		Yes	u unc	ectly und explicitly	With the FEG.		
		. No					
		. Don't know					
\circ		. N/A					
		_					
Q3. Was			ure ((e.g. assignment,	thesis, etc.) aligned directly and explicitly with the ru	ıbric	?
	1	. Yes					
	2	. No					
	3	. Don't know					
	4	. N/A					
Q3.	4.4	4.					
			ure ((e.g. assignment,	thesis, etc.) aligned directly and explicitly with the Pl	L O ?	
	1	. Yes					

2. No
3. Don't know
○ 4. N/A
02.5
Q3.5. How many faculty members participated in planning the assessment data collection of the selected PLO?
3
Q3.5.1. How many faculty members participated in the evaluation of the assessment data for the selected PLO?
Trow many ractity members participated in the evaluation of the assessment data for the selected FLO:
3
Q3.5.2. If the data was evaluated by multiple scorers, was there a norming process (a procedure to make sure everyone was scori
similarly)?
1. Yes
② 2. No
3. Don't know
○ 4. N/A
Randomly
Q3.6.1. How did you decide how many samples of student work to review?
The assessment committee determined that five papers (out of nine submitted) was a reasonable sampling.
Q3.6.2.
How many students were in the class or program?
9

Q3.6.3. How many samples of student work did you evaluated?

5
Q3.6.4. Was the sample size of student work for the direct measure adequate? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know
(Remember: Save your progress) Question 3B: Indirect Measures (surveys, focus groups, interviews, etc.)
Q3.7. Were indirect measures used to assess the PLO? 1. Yes
 2. No (skip to Q3.8) 3. Don't Know (skip to Q3.8)
Q3.7.1. Which of the following indirect measures were used? [Check all that apply] 1. National student surveys (e.g. NSSE) 2. University conducted student surveys (e.g. OIR) 3. College/department/program student surveys or focus groups 4. Alumni surveys, focus groups, or interviews 5. Employer surveys, focus groups, or interviews 6. Advisory board surveys, focus groups, or interviews 7. Other, specify: Q3.7.1.1. Please explain and attach the indirect measure you used to collect data:
☑ No file attached ☑ No fil
Q3.7.2. If surveys were used, how was the sample size decided?

Q3.7.3. If surveys were used, how did you select your sample:

Q3.7.4. If surveys were used, what was the response rate?
Question 3C: Other Measures (external benchmarking, licensing exams,
standardized tests, etc.)
Q3.8.Were external benchmarking data, such as licensing exams or standardized tests, used to assess the PLO?1. Yes
2. No (skip to Q3.8.2)
3. Don't Know (skip to Q3.8.2)
Q3.8.1. Which of the following measures was used? [Check all that apply]
National disciplinary exams or state/professional licensure exams
2. General knowledge and skills measures (e.g. CLA, ETS PP, etc.)
3. Other standardized knowledge and skill exams (e.g. ETC, GRE, etc.)
4. Other, specify:
Q3.8.2. Were other measures used to assess the PLO? 1. Yes
2. No (skip to Q4.1)
3. Don't know (skip to Q4.1)
Q3.8.3.
If other measures were used, please specify:
■ No file attached ■ No fil
(Remember: Save your progress)
Question 4: Data, Findings, and Conclusions

Q4.1. Please provide simple tables and/or graphs to summarize the assessment data, findings, and conclusions for the selected PLO for **Q2.1**:

The recultural Knowledge and Competence* rubric scores are attached. HRS 196 Rubric scores pdf Ro file attached Ro fil		
Q4.2. Are students doing well and meeting the program standard? If not, how will the program work to improve student performance of the selected PLO? The direct measure is the third of three papers assigned in HRS 196 (=HRS 190). The assignment is: You may either conduct a general discussion of Christian sainthood, and compare this paradigmatic ideal to the Confucian sagehood, or focus on a specific Christian saint and discuss his/her saintliness in light of the chracteristics and attributes you have identified in the Confucian sage. What common features do they share and what unique ones do (does) the Christian saint embody and exemplify? Q4.3. For the selected PLO, the student performance: Q 1. Exceeded expectation/standard Q 2. Met expectation/standard Q 3. Partially met expectation/standard Q 4. Did not meet expectation/standard Q 5. No expectation/standard has been specified Q6. Don't know Question 4A: Alignment and Quality Q4.4. Did the data, including the direct measures, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the PLO? Q 1. Yes Q 2. No Q 3. Don't know Q4.5. Were all the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures of the PLO? Q 1. Yes Q 2. No Q 3. Don't know	"Intercultural Knowledge and Com	petence" rubric scores are attached.
Q4.2. Are students doing well and meeting the program standard? If not, how will the program work to improve student performance of the selected PLO? The direct measure is the third of three papers assigned in HRS 196 (=HRS 190). The assignment is: You may either conduct a general discussion of Christian sainthood, and compare this paradigmatic ideal to the Confucian sagehood, or focus on a specific Christian saint and discuss his/her saintliness in light of the chracteristics and attributes you have identified in the Confucian sage. What common features do they share and what unique ones do (does) the Christian saint embody and exemplify? Q4.3. For the selected PLO, the student performance: Q 1. Exceeded expectation/standard Q 2. Met expectation/standard Q 3. Partially met expectation/standard Q 4. Did not meet expectation/standard Q 5. No expectation/standard has been specified Q6. Don't know Question 4A: Alignment and Quality Q4.4. Did the data, including the direct measures, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the PLO? Q 1. Yes Q 2. No Q 3. Don't know Q4.5. Were all the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures of the PLO? Q 1. Yes Q 2. No Q 3. Don't know		
Are students doing well and meeting the program standard? If not, how will the program work to improve student performance of the selected PLO? The direct measure is the third of three papers assigned in HRS 196 (=HRS 190). The assignment is: You may either conduct a general discussion of Christian sainthood, and compare this paradigmatic ideal to the Confucian sagehood, or focus on a specific Christian saint and discuss his/her saintlines in light of the chracteristics and attributes you have identified in the Confucian sage. What common features do they share and what unique ones do (does) the Christian saint embody and exemplify? Q4.3. For the selected PLO, the student performance: 1. Exceeded expectation/standard 2. Met expectation/standard 3. Partially met expectation/standard 4. Did not meet expectation/standard 5. No expectation/standard has been specified 6. Don't know Question 4A: Alignment and Quality Q4.4. 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know Q4.5. Were all the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures of the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know		No file attached
You may either conduct a general discussion of Christian sainthood, and compare this paradigmatic idea to the Confucian sagehood, or focus on a specific Christian saint and discuss his/her saintliness in light of the chracteristics and attributes you have identified in the Confucian sage. What common features do they share and what unique ones do (does) the Christian saint embody and exemplify? Q4.3. For the selected PLO, the student performance: 1. Exceeded expectation/standard 2. Met expectation/standard 3. Partially met expectation/standard 4. Did not meet expectation/standard 5. No expectation/standard has been specified 6. Don't know Question 4A: Alignment and Quality Q4.4. Did the data, including the direct measures, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know Q4.5. Were all the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures of the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know	Are students doing well and meeti	
Q4.3. For the selected PLO, the student performance: 1. Exceeded expectation/standard 2. Met expectation/standard 3. Partially met expectation/standard 4. Did not meet expectation/standard 5. No expectation/standard has been specified 6. Don't know Question 4A: Alignment and Quality Q4.4. Did the data, including the direct measures, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know Q4.5. Were all the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures of the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know	You may either conduct a general discus specific Christian saint and discuss his/h	ssion of Christian sainthood, and compare this paradigmatic ideal to the Confucian sagehood, or focus on a her saintliness in light of the chracteristics and attributes you have identified in the Confucian sage. What
For the selected PLO, the student performance: 1. Exceeded expectation/standard 2. Met expectation/standard 3. Partially met expectation/standard 4. Did not meet expectation/standard 5. No expectation/standard has been specified 6. Don't know Question 4A: Alignment and Quality Q4.4. Did the data, including the direct measures, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know Q4.5. Were all the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures of the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know		ttached
Question 4A: Alignment and Quality Q4.4. Did the data, including the direct measures, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know Q4.5. Were all the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures of the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know	For the selected PLO, the student 1. Exceeded expectation/st. 2. Met expectation/standard 3. Partially met expectation 4. Did not meet expectation	andard n/standard /standard
Q4.4. Did the data, including the direct measures, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know Q4.5. Were all the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures of the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know		ias been specified
Did the data, including the direct measures, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know Q4.5. Were all the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures of the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know 3. Don't know	Question 4A: Alignme	nt and Quality
Were all the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures of the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know	Did the data, including the direct PLO? 1. Yes 2. No	measures, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the
Question 5: Use of Assessment Data (Closing the Loop)	Were all the assessment tools/me 1. Yes 2. No	easures/methods that were used good measures of the PLO?
	Question 5: Use of As	sessment Data (Closing the Loop)

Q5.1.As a result of the assessment effort and based on prior feedback from OAPA, do you anticipate *making any changes* for your program (e.g. course structure, course content, or modification of PLOs)?

25.1.1. lease describe <i>what changes</i> you plan to make in your progrescription of how you plan to assess the impact of these characteristics.		of your asse	essment of t	his PLO. Inc	ude a
n past years, we used University (= AAC&U) PLOs that align ssessment easier and more rewarding. The University PLOs rogrammatic PLOs. For example, their emphasis on using kesponsibly, and ethically in diverse cultural settings fits very espect to action.	we chose this y nowledge of cul	ear did not tural traditio	fit nearly as ons in order	well with ou to act effect	ır ively,
or this reason, we do not intend to use the University (= AA ubrics, which will be directly aligned with our own PLOs.	AC&U) rubrics. I	nstead, we i	intend to de	velop our ow	/n
his year's assessment process did not yield sufficient inform	ation to justify	making mag	jor changes	to our proga	m.
 25.1.2. o you have a plan to assess the <i>impact of the changes</i> that 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know 	you anticipate	making?			
5.2. low have the assessment data from the last annual ssessment been used so far? [Check all that apply]	1. Very Much	2. Quite a Bit	3. Some	4. Not at All	5. N/A
. Improving specific courses			•		
. Modifying curriculum	0		0	•	
. Improving advising and mentoring	0		•	0	
. Revising learning outcomes/goals				•	0
. Revising rubrics and/or expectations	•	0	0	0	0
. Developing/updating assessment plan		•			0
. Annual assessment reports	•	0	0	0	0
. Program review	•	0	0	0	0
. Prospective student and family information					•
0. Alumni communication					•
WSCUC accreditation (regional accreditation)			•		
2. Program accreditation					
3. External accountability reporting requirement					•
1.7					•
4. Trustee/Governing Board deliberations			•		
5. Strategic planning	0				•
5. Strategic planning 6. Institutional benchmarking		0			•
5. Strategic planning 6. Institutional benchmarking 7. Academic policy development or modifications	0		-	_	0
5. Strategic planning 6. Institutional benchmarking 7. Academic policy development or modifications 8. Institutional improvement	0	0	0	0	•
5. Strategic planning 6. Institutional benchmarking 7. Academic policy development or modifications 8. Institutional improvement 9. Resource allocation and budgeting	0	0	0	0	•
4. Trustee/Governing Board deliberations 5. Strategic planning 6. Institutional benchmarking 7. Academic policy development or modifications 8. Institutional improvement 9. Resource allocation and budgeting 0. New faculty hiring 1. Professional development for faculty and staff	0	0	0	0	•

2. No (skip to **Q5.2**)

3. Don't know (skip to **Q5.2**)

Pleas	se provide a detailed example of how you used the assessment data above:
The rand sas a 'the n	1.08, "Seminar in Approaches to Religious Studies," is our required theory course for the B.A. in Humanities (Religious Studies concentration). najor course requirement in Spring 2016 was an annotated bibliography requiring students to demonstrate their ability to assess, identify, ummarize some of the central primary and secondary sources in the field of Religious Studies. The assignment is described on the syllabus '15-page annotated bibliography, covering the major thinkers, themes, and approaches in religious studies, including an explanation of nethodology used in choosing resources. The bibliography was assessed and graded based on a student's ability to identify relevant sources, irm concise exposition, succinct analysis, and demonstrate informed research.
-	member: Save your progress)
Add	ditional Assessment Activities
impa	v academic units have collected assessment data on aspect of their program that are not related to the PLOs (i.e. acts of an advising center, etc.). If your program/academic unit has collected data on program elements, please briefly rt your results here:
Ø	No file attached No file attached
	t PLO(s) do you plan to assess next year? [Check all that apply] 1. Critical Thinking
	2. Information Literacy
	3. Written Communication
	4. Oral Communication
	5. Quantitative Literacy
	6. Inquiry and Analysis
	7. Creative Thinking
4	8. Reading
	9. Team Work
	10. Problem Solving
	11. Civic Knowledge and Engagement
	12. Intercultural Knowledge and Competency
	13. Ethical Reasoning
	14. Foundations and Skills for Lifelong Learning
	15. Global Learning
	16. Integrative and Applied Learning
	17. Overall Competencies for GE Knowledge
	18. Overall Competencies in the Major/Discipline
	19. Other, specify any PLOs not included above:
a. [Knowledge of Human Cultures (HRS programmatic PLO)
b.	
c.	

Q8. Please attach any additional files here:	
■ No file attached ■ No file attached ■ No file attached ■ No file attached	1
Q8.1. Have you attached any files to this form? If yes, please list every attached file he	e:
"Intercultural Knowledge and Competence" rubric	
HRS 196 (= 190) rubric scores	
HRS Assessment Plan (includes Curricular Map)	
Program Information (Required)	
P1.	
Program/Concentration Name(s): [by degree] BA Humanities & Religious Studies	
Program/Concentration Name(s): [by department]	
Humanities & Religious Studies BA	
P2. Report Author(s):	
Brad Nystrom, Jeffrey Brodd, Harvey Stark	
P2.1. Department Chair/Program Director:	
Brad Nystrom	
P2.2. Assessment Coordinator:	
Harvey Stark	
P3. Department/Division/Program of Academic Unit	
Humanities & Religious Studies	
P4. College:	
College of Arts & Letters	
P5. Total enrollment for Academic Unit during assessment semester (see Departmenta 47 majors	Fact Book):
P6. Program Type:	
1. Undergraduate baccalaureate major	
2. Credential 3. Master's Degree	
4. Doctorate (Ph.D./Ed.D./Ed.S./D.P.T./etc.)	
5. Other, specify:	
P7. Number of undergraduate degree programs the academic unit has?	
2	

P7.1. List all the names:

3.A. in Humanities
3.A. in Humanities (Religious Studies concentration)
P7.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this undergraduate program?
P8. Number of master's degree programs the academic unit has?
P8.1. List all the names:
M.A. Humanities
P8.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this master's program?
P9. Number of credential programs the academic unit has?
0
P9.1. List all the names:
P10. Number of doctorate degree programs the academic unit has?
P10.1. List all the names:

	2010-11	2012-13		know
P11. developed?				
P11.1. last updated?				
P11.3.	an:			

P12.

Has your program developed a curriculum map?

- 1 Yes
- 2. No
- 3. Don't know

P12.1.

Please attach your latest curriculum map:

No file attached

P13

Has your program indicated in the curriculum map where assessment of student learning occurs?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 3. Don't know

P14

Does your program have a capstone class?

- 1. Yes, indicate: HRS 198
- 2. No
- 3. Don't know

P14.1.

Does your program have any capstone project?

- 1. Yes
- 2. No
- 3. Don't know

(Remember: Save your progress)

INTERCULTURAL KNOWLEDGE AND COMPETENCE VALUE RUBRIC

for more information, please contact value@aacu.org



The VALUE rubrics were developed by teams of faculty experts representing colleges and universities across the United States through a process that examined many existing campus rubrics and related documents for each learning outcome and incorporated additional feedback from faculty. The rubrics articulate fundamental criteria for each learning outcome, with performance descriptors demonstrating progressively more sophisticated levels of attainment. The rubrics are intended for institutional-level use in evaluating and discussing student learning, not for grading. The core expectations articulated in all 15 of the VALUE rubrics can and should be translated into the language of individual campuses, disciplines, and even courses. The utility of the VALUE rubrics is to position learning at all undergraduate levels within a basic framework of expectations such that evidence of learning can by shared nationally through a common dialog and understanding of student success.

Definition

Intercultural K nowledge and Competence is "a set of cognitive, affective, and behavioral skills and characteristics that support effective and appropriate interaction in a variety of cultural contexts." (Bennett, J. M. 2008. Transformative training: Designing programs for culture learning. In Contemporary leadership and intercultural competence: Understanding and utilizing cultural diversity to build successful organizations, ed M. A. Moodian, 95-110. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.)

Framing Language

The call to integrate intercultural knowledge and competence into the heart of education is an imperative born of seeing ourselves as members of a world community, knowing that we share the future with others. Beyond mere exposure to culturally different others, the campus community requires the capacity to: meaningfully engage those others, place social justice in historical and political context, and put culture at the core of transformative learning. The intercultural knowledge and competence rubric suggests a systematic way to measure our capacity to identify our own cultural patterns, compare and contrast them with others, and adapt empathically and flexibly to unfamiliar ways of being.

The levels of this rubric are informed in part by M. Bennett's Developmental Model of Intercultural Sensitivity (Bennett, M.J. 1993. Towards ethnorelativism: A developmental model of intercultural sensitity. In *Education for the intercultural experience*, ed. R. M. Paige, 22-71. Yarmouth, ME: Intercultural Press). In addition, the criteria in this rubric are informed in part by D.K. Deardorff's intercultural framework which is the first research-based consensus model of intercultural competence (Deardorff, D.K. 2006. The identification and assessment of intercultural competence as a student outcome of internationalization. *Journal of Studies in International Education* 10(3): 241-266). It is also important to understand that intercultural knowledge and competence is more complex than what is reflected in this rubric. This rubric identifies six of the key components of intercultural knowledge and competence, but there are other components as identified in the Deardorff model and in other research.

Glossary

The definitions that follow were developed to clarify terms and concepts used in this rubric only.

- Culture: All knowledge and values shared by a group.
- Cultural rules and biases: Boundaries within which an individual operates in order to feel a sense of belonging to a society or group, based on the values shared by that society or group.
- Empathy: "Empathy is the imaginary participation in another person's experience, including emotional and intellectual dimensions, by imagining his or her perspective (not by assuming the person's position)". Bennett, J. 1998. Transition shock: Putting culture shock in perspective. In *Basic concepts of intercultural communication*, ed. M. Bennett, 215-224. Yarmouth, ME: Intercultural Press.
- Intercultural experience: The experience of an interaction with an individual or groups of people whose culture is different from your own.
- Intercultural/cultural differences: The differences in rules, behaviors, communication and biases, based on cultural values that are different from one's own culture.
- Suspends judgment in valuing their interactions with culturally different others: Postpones assessment or evaluation (positive or negative) of interactions with people culturally different from one self. Disconnecting from the process of automatic judgment and taking time to reflect on possibly multiple meanings.
- Worldview: Worldview is the cognitive and affective lens through which people construe their experiences and make sense of the world around them.

INTERCULTURAL KNOWLEDGE AND COMPETENCE VALUE RUBRIC

for more information, please contact value@aacu.org



Definition

Intercultural Knowledge and Competence is "a set of cognitive, affective, and behavioral skills and characteristics that support effective and appropriate interaction in a variety of cultural contexts." (Bennett, J. M. 2008. Transformative training: Designing programs for culture learning. In Contemporary leadership and intercultural competence: Understanding and utilizing cultural diversity to build successful organizations, ed. M. A. Moodian, 95-110. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.)

Evaluators are encouraged to assign a zero to any work sample or collection of work that does not meet benchmark (cell one) level performance.

	Capstone 4	Mile 3	stones 2	Benchmark 1
Knowledge Cultural self- awareness	Articulates insights into own cultural rules and biases (e.g. seeking complexity; aware of how her/his experiences have shaped these rules, and how to recognize and respond to cultural biases, resulting in a shift in self-description.)	Recognizes new perspectives about own cultural rules and biases (e.g. not looking for sameness; comfortable with the complexities that new perspectives offer.)	Identifies own cultural rules and biases (e.g. with a strong preference for those rules shared with own cultural group and seeks the same in others.)	Shows minimal awareness of own cultural rules and biases (even those shared with own cultural group(s)) (e.g. uncomfortable with identifying possible cultural differences with others.)
Knowledge Knowledge of cultural worldview frameworks	Demonstrates sophisticated understanding of the complexity of elements important to members of another culture in relation to its history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices.	Demonstrates adequate understanding of the complexity of elements important to members of another culture in relation to its history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices.	Demonstrates partial understanding of the complexity of elements important to members of another culture in relation to its history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices.	Demonstrates surface understanding of the complexity of elements important to members of another culture in relation to its history, values, politics, communication styles, economy, or beliefs and practices.
Skills Empathy	Interprets intercultural experience from the perspectives of own and more than one worldview and demonstrates ability to act in a supportive manner that recognizes the feelings of another cultural group.	Recognizes intellectual and emotional dimensions of more than one worldview and sometimes uses more than one worldview in interactions.	Identifies components of other cultural perspectives but responds in all situations with own worldview.	Views the experience of others but does so through own cultural worldview.
Skills Verbal and nonverbal communication	Articulates a complex understanding of cultural differences in verbal and nonverbal communication (e.g., demonstrates understanding of the degree to which people use physical contact while communicating in different cultures or use direct/indirect and explicit/implicit meanings) and is able to skillfully negotiate a shared understanding based on those differences.	Recognizes and participates in cultural differences in verbal and nonverbal communication and begins to negotiate a shared understanding based on those differences.	Identifies some cultural differences in verbal and nonverbal communication and is aware that misunderstandings can occur based on those differences but is still unable to negotiate a shared understanding	Has a minimal level of understanding of cultural differences in verbal and nonverbal communication; is unable to negotiate a shared understanding.
Attitudes Curiosity	Asks complex questions about other cultures, seeks out and articulates answers to these questions that reflect multiple cultural perspectives.	Asks deeper questions about other cultures and seeks out answers to these questions.	Asks simple or surface questions about other cultures.	States minimal interest in learning more about other cultures.
Attitudes Openness	Initiates and develops interactions with culturally different others. Suspends judgment in valuing her/his interactions with culturally different others.	Begins to initiate and develop interactions with culturally different others. Begins to suspend judgment in valuing her/his interactions with culturally different others.	Expresses openness to most, if not all, interactions with culturally different others. Has difficulty suspending any judgment in her/his interactions with culturally different others, and is aware of own judgment and expresses a willingness to change.	Receptive to interacting with culturally different others. Has difficulty suspending any judgment in her/his interactions with culturally different others, but is unaware of own judgment.

HRS 196 (R. Shek) final papers, Spring 2016

Intercultural Knowledge and Competence

	#1	#2	#3	#4	#5	Average
K1	3.0	2.7	3.0	1.8	2.7	2.6
K2	3.7	3.2	3.2	2.0	2.7	2.8
S1	3.3	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.7	2.7
S2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
A1	3.0	2.5	2.8	2.2	2.3	2.5
A2	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
Average	3.3	2.8	3.0	2.0	2.6	2.6

Department of Humanities & Religious Studies Assessment Plan (REV 6/16)

Learning Goals and Outcomes

- 1. Knowledge of Human Cultures: Students majoring in Humanities & Religious Studies should be able to demonstrate knowledge of human cultures, their values and forms of expression in ways that prepare them to understand, adapt, and succeed in increasingly diverse and complex contexts.
 - 1.1. Explain the distinguishing values and prominent forms of literary and artistic expression of the major eras of Western and Asian cultures.
 - 1.2. Analyze cultural transformations through time, recognizing both persistent aspects and innovations, and proposing well reasoned explanations for such.
 - 1.3. Compare two or more cultures, identifying common themes or issues along with those that are distinctive.
- 2. Intellectual and Communication Skills: Students majoring in Humanities & Religious Studies should be able to demonstrate analytical reading skills, critical thinking skills, written communication skills, and information literacy in order to facilitate clear understanding and articulation of subject matter in academic and professional pursuits.
 - 2.1. (Reading) Demonstrate ability simultaneously to extract and construct meaning when reading diverse texts.
 - 2.2. (Critical Thinking) Demonstrate comprehensive exploration of issues, ideas, artifacts, and events before accepting or formulating an opinion or conclusion.
 - 2.3. (Written Communication) Use appropriate structure, development, usage, and reference sources to write clear, purposeful, analytical prose. [These are adapted from the English Dept. Writing Assessment Scoring Rubric]
 - 2.4. (Information Literacy) Demonstrate ability to identify, locate, evaluate, and apply information.
- 3. Lifelong Learning: Students majoring in Humanities & Religious Studies should be able to acquire foundations and skills for lifelong learning for purposes of enhancing personal enrichment, intercultural awareness, and active engagement with the challenges and opportunities of the modern world.
 - 3.1. Explore a topic in depth, yielding insight and information indicating special interest in the subject.
 - 3.2. Make explicit references to previous learning and apply in an innovative (new and creative) way that knowledge and those skills to demonstrate comprehension and performance in novel situations.
 - 3.3. Review prior learning (past experiences inside and outside of the classroom) in depth to reveal significantly changed perspectives about educational and life experiences, which provide foundation for expanded knowledge, growth, and maturity over time.
 - 3.4. Demonstrate evidence of self-reflection on perspectives because of working within and learning from diversity of communities and cultures.
 - 3.5. Express, listen, and adapt ideas and messages based on others' perspectives.

- 4. Integrative Learning: Students majoring in Humanities & Religious Studies should be able to demonstrate ability to synthesize and undertake cross □ disciplinary study and learning in order to understand holistically the place and relevance of these fields and their subject matter.
 - 4.1. Apply learning acquired in Humanities & Religious Studies as context for studying (within and/or outside of HRS) from relevant disciplinary perspectives such as history, English, philosophy, and art history.
 - 4.2. Select and develop examples of life experiences, drawn from a variety of contexts (e.g., family life, artistic participation, civic involvement, work experience), to illuminate concepts/theories/frameworks of fields of study.
 - 4.3. Create wholes out of multiple parts (synthesize) or draw conclusions by combining examples, facts, or theories from more than one field of study or perspective.
 - 4.4. Adapt and apply skills, abilities, theories, or methodologies gained in one situation to new situations to solve problems or explore issues.

(Note: The two B.A. programs in HRS have divergent learning goals and outcomes for "competence in the disciplines")

Humanities:

- 5. Competence in the Disciplines (Humanities): Students majoring in Humanities & Religious Studies with Humanities Concentration should be able to demonstrate knowledge and skills of theoretical and methodological approaches appropriate to the field in order to achieve advanced levels of interpretation and analysis of various forms of expression in a variety of cultures.
 - 5.1. Analyze the impact that key historical events have on changing styles and concepts in art, literature, and music (or on the changing cultural landscapes of their time).
 - 5.2. Develop a clear understanding and vocabulary of basic stylistic principles and ideas across the disciplines (literature, art, music, history and philosophy).
 - 5.3. Conduct cross-disciplinary research and analysis.
 - 5.4. Demonstrate the ability to use and apply a basic vocabulary of terms and principles that refer to the visual arts, literature and philosophy.
 - 5.5. Identify and analyze the stylistic expression of specific ideas in art, architecture, music, literature, and philosophy and show how they vary across cultural boundaries and historical contexts.

Religious Studies:

- 5. Competence in the Disciplines (Religious Studies): Students majoring in Humanities & Religious Studies with Religious Studies Concentration should be able to demonstrate knowledge and skills of theoretical and methodological approaches appropriate to the field in order to achieve advanced levels of interpretation and analysis of various manifestations of religion in a variety of cultures.
 - 5.1. Explain the historical development of the field of Religious Studies, identifying major thinkers and describing significant theoretical approaches.
 - 5.2. Demonstrate familiarity with the ways "religion" is conceptualized and categorized in academic study, and demonstrate ability to distinguish academic study of religion from personal perspectives (e.g. "faith" perspective).
 - 5.3. Applying appropriate academic approaches, explain characteristic beliefs, practices, and institutions of more than one religion and describe the place of these religions within their historical and cultural contexts.

Summary Plans for Next Program Review Cycle (2016/17 through 2020/21)

Humanities B.A.

пишаши	CB D .11.								
Overarching Program Learning Goals	Corresponding Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs). (Each must directly relate to one or more Program Goals)	In which course(s) will the PLO(s) be assesse d?	In which year will the PLO(s) be assess ed and how often?	What types of assessme nt activities ¹ will be used to collect the data?	What types of tools ² will be used to score/evaluat e the activity? Who will develop/mod ify the tool and/or evaluated the activities?	How will the data be collected? By whom?	How will the data be reported ³ (both aggregated and disaggregate d), and by whom? What will be the standard of performance ?	Who will analyze the data?	How will the data be used? By whom?
I. Knowledge of Human Cultures: Students majoring in Humanities & Religious Studies should be able to	1. Explain the distinguishing values and prominent forms of literary and artistic expression of the major eras of Western and Asian cultures.	190 or 195	16/17	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course design and delivery
demonstrate knowledge of human cultures, their values and forms of expression in ways that	2. Analyze cultural transformations through time, recognizing both persistent aspects and innovations, and proposing well reasoned explanations for such.								
prepare them to understand, adapt, and succeed in increasingly diverse and complex contexts.	3. Compare two or more cultures, identifying common themes or issues along with those that are distinctive.	190 or 195	16/17	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course design and delivery
II. Intellectual and Communicati on Skills: Students majoring in Humanities & Religious Studies should be able to demonstrate analytical reading skills, critical thinking skills, written communicati on skills, and	1. (Reading) Demonstrate ability simultaneously to extract and construct meaning when reading diverse texts.	190 or 195	16/17	Reading response papers	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course design and delivery
information literacy in order to	2. (Critical Thinking) Demonstrate comprehensive	190 or 195	18/19	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment	Coordinat ed by Assessme	Data will be reported by Assessment	Assessme nt Committe	Faculty will use data for

facilitate clear understandin g and articulation of subject matter in academic and professional pursuits.	exploration of issues, ideas, artifacts, and events before accepting or formulating an opinion or conclusion.				Committee	nt Committe e	Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	e and instructor	enhancin g course design and delivery
	3. (Written Communication) Use appropriate structure, development, usage, and reference sources to write clear, purposeful, analytical prose.	190 or 195	17/18	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course design and delivery
	4. (Information Literacy) Demonstrate ability to identify, locate, evaluate, and apply information.	190 or 195	19/20	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course design and delivery
III. Lifelong Learning: Students majoring in Humanities & Religious	1. Explore a topic in depth, yielding insight and information indicating special interest in the subject.								
Studies should be able to acquire foundations and skills for lifelong learning for purposes of enhancing personal	2. Make explicit references to previous learning and apply in an innovative (new and creative) way that knowledge and those skills to demonstrate comprehension and performance in novel situations.								
enrichment, intercultural awareness, and active engagement with the challenges and opportunities of the modern world.	3. Review prior learning (past experiences inside and outside of the classroom) in depth to reveal significantly changed perspectives about educational and life experiences, which provide foundation for expanded knowledge, growth, and maturity over time.								
	4. Demonstrate evidence of self-reflection on perspectives because of working within and learning from diversity of communities and cultures.	190 or 195	17/18	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course design and delivery

	5. Express, listen, and adapt ideas and messages based on others' perspectives.	190 or 195	17/18	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course design and delivery
IV. Integrative Learning: Students majoring in Humanities & Religious Studies should be able to demonstrate	1. Apply learning acquired in Humanities & Religious Studies as context for studying (within and/or outside of HRS) from relevant disciplinary perspectives such as history, English, philosophy, and art history.								
ability to synthesize and undertake cross- disciplinary study and learning in order to understand holistically the place and	2. Select and develop examples of life experiences, drawn from a variety of contexts (e.g., family life, artistic participation, civic involvement, work experience), to illuminate concepts/theories/frame works of fields of study.								
relevance of these fields and their subject matter.	3. Create wholes out of multiple parts (synthesize) or draw conclusions by combining examples, facts, or theories from more than one field of study or perspective.								
	4. Adapt and apply skills, abilities, theories, or methodologies gained in one situation to new situations to solve problems or explore issues.	190 or 195	18/19	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course design and delivery
V. Competence in the Disciplines (Humanities) : Students majoring in Humanities	1. Analyze the impact that key historical events have on changing styles and concepts in art, literature, and music (or on the changing cultural landscapes of their time).								
& Religious Studies with Humanities Concentratio n should be able to demonstrate knowledge and skills of	2. Develop a clear understanding and vocabulary of basic stylistic principles and ideas across the disciplines (literature, art, music, history and philosophy).								
theoretical and	3. Conduct cross- disciplinary research and	195	19/20	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment	Coordinat ed by Assessme	Data will be reported by Assessment	Assessme nt Committe	Faculty will use data for

methodologi cal approaches appropriate to the field in order to achieve advanced	analysis.				Committee	nt Committe e	Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	e and instructor	enhancin g course and curriculu m design and delivery
levels of interpretation and analysis of various forms of expression in a variety of cultures.	4. Demonstrate the ability to use and apply a basic vocabulary of terms and principles that refer to the visual arts, literature and philosophy.								
cultures.	5. Identify and analyze the stylistic expression of specific ideas in art, architecture, music, literature, and philosophy and show how they vary across cultural boundaries and historical contexts.	195	20/21	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course and curriculu m design and delivery

HRS B.A., Religious Studies Concentration

Overarching Program Learning Goals	Corresponding Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs). (Each must directly relate to one or more Program Goals)	In which course(s) will the PLO(s) be assesse d?	In which year will the PLO(s) be assess ed and how often?	What types of assessme nt activities ¹ will be used to collect the data?	What types of tools ² will be used to score/evaluat e the activity? Who will develop/mod ify the tool and/or evaluated the activities?	How will the data be collected? By whom?	How will the data be reported ³ (both aggregated and disaggregate d), and by whom? What will be the standard of performance ?	Who will analyze the data?	How will the data be used? By whom?
I. Knowledge of Human Cultures: Students majoring in Humanities & Religious Studies should be able to demonstrate knowledge of	Explain the distinguishing values and prominent forms of literary and artistic expression of the major eras of Western and Asian cultures. Analyze cultural transformations through	190	16/17	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course design and delivery
human cultures, their values and forms of expression in	time, recognizing both persistent aspects and innovations, and proposing well reasoned explanations for such.								

ways that prepare them to understand, adapt, and succeed in increasingly diverse and complex contexts.	3. Compare two or more cultures, identifying common themes or issues along with those that are distinctive.	190	16/17	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course design and delivery
II. Intellectual and Communicati on Skills: Students majoring in Humanities & Religious Studies should be able to demonstrate analytical reading skills, critical thinking skills, written communicati on skills, and	1. (Reading) Demonstrate ability simultaneously to extract and construct meaning when reading diverse texts.	108, 190, or 198	16/17	Reading response papers	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course design and delivery
information literacy in order to facilitate clear understandin g and articulation of subject matter in academic and	2. (Critical Thinking) Demonstrate comprehensive exploration of issues, ideas, artifacts, and events before accepting or formulating an opinion or conclusion.	190 or 198	18/19	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course design and delivery
professional pursuits.	3. (Written Communication) Use appropriate structure, development, usage, and reference sources to write clear, purposeful, analytical prose.	190 or 198	17/18	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course design and delivery
	4. (Information Literacy) Demonstrate ability to identify, locate, evaluate, and apply information.	190 or 198	19/20	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course design and delivery
III. Lifelong Learning: Students majoring in Humanities & Religious	1. Explore a topic in depth, yielding insight and information indicating special interest in the subject.								
Studies should be able to	2. Make explicit references to previous learning and apply in an innovative (new and								

			ı		П	ı	П		
acquire foundations	creative) way that knowledge and those								
and skills for	skills to demonstrate								
lifelong learning for	comprehension and performance in novel								
purposes of enhancing	situations.								
personal enrichment,									
intercultural	3. Review prior learning								
awareness, and active	(past experiences inside and outside of the								
engagement	classroom) in depth to								
with the	reveal significantly								
challenges and	changed perspectives about educational and								
opportunities	life experiences, which								
of the	provide foundation for								
modern world.	expanded knowledge, growth, and maturity								
world.	over time.								
	4. Demonstrate evidence	190 or	17/18	Research	Rubrics,	Coordinat	Data will be	Assessme	Faculty
	of self-reflection on	198		paper	developed by	ed by	reported by	nt	will use
	perspectives because of working within and				Assessment Committee	Assessme	Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0	Committe e and instructor	data for
	learning from diversity				Committee	nt Committe			enhancin g course
	of communities and					e			design
	cultures.						or better (of 4.0), 30%		and delivery
							3.0 or better		uclively
	5 Express listen and	190 or	17/18	Dagaarah	Dubrica	Coordinat	Data will be	A agaggma a	Faculty
	5. Express, listen, and adapt ideas and messages	190 or 198	1//18	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by	Coordinat ed by	reported by	Assessme nt	will use
	based on others'				Assessment	Assessme	Assessment	Committe	data for
	perspectives.				Committee	nt Committe	Committee. 90% should	e and instructor	enhancin g course
						e	achieve 2.0	mstructor	design
							or better (of		and
							4.0), 30% 3.0 or better		delivery
17.7	1.4.1.1.								
IV. Integrative	Apply learning acquired in Humanities								
Learning:	& Religious Studies as								
Students	context for studying								
majoring in Humanities	(within and/or outside of HRS) from relevant								
& Religious	disciplinary perspectives								
Studies	such as history, English,								
should be able to	philosophy, and art history.								
demonstrate	-								
ability to	2. Select and develop examples of life								
synthesize and	experiences, drawn from								
undertake	a variety of contexts								
cross- disciplinary	(e.g., family life, artistic participation, civic								
study and	involvement, work								
learning in	experience), to								
order to understand	illuminate concepts/theories/frame								
holistically	works of fields of study.								
the place and	3. Create wholes out of								
relevance of these fields	multiple parts								
and their	(synthesize) or draw								
subject	conclusions by combining examples,								
matter.	facts, or theories from								
	more than one field of								

	study or perspective.								
	sing, or perspective.								
	4. Adapt and apply skills, abilities, theories, or methodologies gained in one situation to new situations to solve problems or explore issues.	190 or 198	18/19	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course design and delivery
V. Competence in the Disciplines (Religious Studies): Students majoring in Humanities & Religious Studies with Religious Studies Concentratio n should be able to demonstrate knowledge and skills of theoretical and methodologi cal approaches appropriate to the field in order to achieve advanced levels of interpretation and analysis of various manifestation s of religion in a variety	1. Explain the historical development of the field of Religious Studies, identifying major thinkers and describing significant theoretical approaches.								
	2. Demonstrate familiarity with the ways "religion" is conceptualized and categorized in academic study, and demonstrate ability to distinguish academic study of religion from personal perspectives (e.g. "faith" perspective).	108 or 198	19/20	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course and curriculu m design and delivery
	3. Applying appropriate academic approaches, explain characteristic beliefs, practices, and institutions of more than one religion and describe the place of these religions within their historical and cultural contexts.	108 or 198	20/21	Research paper	Rubrics, developed by Assessment Committee	Coordinat ed by Assessme nt Committe e	Data will be reported by Assessment Committee. 90% should achieve 2.0 or better (of 4.0), 30% 3.0 or better	Assessme nt Committe e and instructor	Faculty will use data for enhancin g course and curriculu m design and delivery
of cultures.									

Curricular Maps

Humanities B.A.

TIGHTENING DATE																					
PLOs Courses	1.1	1.2	1.3	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.4	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.4	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	5.5
Required Courses																					
HRS 10	I	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	I	I				I	I	Ι		I		I	I	I	I	Ι
HRS 11	I	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	I	I				I	I	Ι		I		I	I	I	I	I
HRS 70	Ι	Ι	Ι	Ι	I	I	I				I	I	Ι		I		I	I	I	I	Ι
HRS 71	I	I	Ι	I	I	I	I				I	I	I		I		I	I	I	I	I
HRS 105	D	D		D	D	D	D		I		D	D	D		D	D	D	D	D	D	D
HRS 190	M	M	M	D	D	D	D	D	D		D	D	D		D	D	D	D	D	D	D
HRS 195	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M		M	M	M	M	M	M	M

HRS B.A., Religious Studies Concentration

PLOs Courses	1.1	1.2	1.3	2.1	2.2	2.3	2.4	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.5	4.1	4.2	4.3	4.4	5.1	5.2	5.3
Required Courses																			
HRS 10	I	I	I	I	I	I	I				I	I	I		I				
HRS 11	I	I	I	I	I	I	I				I	I	I		I				
HRS 70	I	I	I	I	I	I	I				I	I	I		I				
HRS 71	I	I	I	I	I	I	I				I	I	I		I				
HRS 108				D	D	D	D		I		D	D	D		D	D	M	M	
HRS 140	D	D	D	D	D	D	I											I	I
HRS 190	M	M	M	D	D	D	D	D	D		D	D	D		D	D			
HRS 198				M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M	M		M	M	M	M	M